

**COURSE SATISFACTION SURVEY
REPORT**



Edition: 8th

Date: October 15 and 16, 2020

Number of participants: 20


Number of responses: 19

ABOUT THE PROGRAM

On a scale of 1-5, where:

1-Strongly Disagree; 2-Disagree; 3-Neutral; 4-Agree; 5-Strongly Agree


Program Quality



Legend:

- a) The course was structured in a manner that helped me understand the underlying concepts.
- b) The presenters demonstrated substantive knowledge of the topic.
- c) The presenters used class time efficiently.
- d) The presenters created a welcoming and inclusive learning environment.
- e) The information was shared in a clear and assertive way.


Program Format




Legend:

- a) The sessions were well organized and prepared.
- b) The course materials were complete and useful.
- c) The exam was reflective of the course content.
- d) The connection, audio, and video of the online sessions worked properly assuring the right conduct of the course.


Course Impact on Participant Practice




Relevance of the Event



Commercial Bias



Where your expectation met?



Please feel free to share any additional comments and suggestions:

1. Congrats.
2. In my opinion, despite the high quality of the information, I thought it was too much for two days of training. Spread over three days, it would have been better.
3. The course would benefit if it was in person, although the online version went extremely well. In the future, I would like to have a module on patient selection/recruitment in the different phases of the clinical studies (also including practical cases from real studies).
4. I really enjoyed the course despite having no experience in the field of clinical trials. However, I would have liked to have received more feedback from the people who are part of research teams, about the selection of patients, and all those steps prior to the study, despite knowing that this training was not designed in that sense! Thank you in advance for all the attention and kindness of AIDFM-CETERA staff!
5. You should have made available the course bibliographic references sooner than the date of the course.

6. Thank you so much! Although it was a very first approach to the topic and in a very intensive format, the course excelled for not becoming overwhelming and for being able to retain the essential points. The practical sessions were very important, not only for the consolidation of the given topics but also for the dynamics they created.

7. The course is very well designed and very appealing. The practical sessions are very useful to consolidate knowledge, and given that in general, it is a lot of information especially for those who have no experience in clinical trials. I would like to leave the suggestion to do the practical sessions at the end of each session or for example one in the shift in the morning and another in the afternoon. Thanks.

8. It would be useful to make previously available the bibliography that accompanies the course, sometimes it became difficult to follow the concepts with which I was not familiar, especially when using acronyms. I suggest creating a glossary. It would be interesting to have a bigger practical component.

9. It was very good, thanks! It was only a pity that it was not in person because I think it would have been amazing!